Begged overtion (1s it dangerous?) slipper slope

How dangerous is AI? Regulate it before it's too late

BY CYNTHIA RUDIN, OPINION CONTRIBUTOR, THE HILL - 02/08/23 5:00 PM ET

As an Artificial Intelligence researcher, I've always felt the worst feature of AI is its role in the spread of lies. The AI-amplification of lies in Myanmar reportedly contributed to the Rohingya massacre, the spread of COVID-19 and vaccine misinformation likely contributed to hundreds of thousands of preventable deaths and election misinformation has weakened our democracy and played a part in the Jan. 6, 2021 insurrection. This was all possible because humans turned algorithms into weapons, manipulating them to spread noxious information on platforms that claimed to be neutral. These algorithms are all proprietary to companies, and they are unregulated. And so far, none of the companies have admitted any liability. Apparently, no one feels guilty.

If the federal government doesn't start regulating AI companies, it will get a lot worse. Billions of dollars are pouring into AI technology that generates realistic images and text, with essentially no good controls on who generates what. This will make it exponentially easier to generate fake news, fake violence, fake extremist articles, non-consensual fake nudity and even fake "scientific" articles that look real on the surface. Venture capital firms investing in this technology liken it to the early launch of the internet. And as we know, it's much easier to spread outrageous falsehoods than it is to spread the truth. Is this really like the beginning of the internet? Or is this like launching a nuclear bomb on the truth?

AI startups say that by making this technology public, they are "democratizing AI." It's hard to believe that coming from companies that stand to potentially gain billions by getting people to believe it. If they were instead about to be the victim of a massacre stemming from AI-generated misinformation, or even a victim of AI-amplified bullying, perhaps they might feel differently. Misinformation is not innocent — it is a major cause of wars (think of WWII or Vietnam), although most people are unfamiliar with the connection.

There are things we can do right now to address these critical problems. We need regulations around the use and training of specific types of AI technology

Let's start with <u>regulating</u> facial recognition technology (FRT) — that is, unless you don't mind <u>being</u> recognized by AI and then <u>kicked out of Radio City Music Hall</u> because of ongoing litigation involving your employer. FRT users should have to get a license or certification to use it or develop it, which comes with training for all users and developers.

We should figure out how to reduce the spread of particularly harmful misinformation; an easy solution to this is to make social media companies responsible for posted content, like any other publisher. Other countries have such laws, but the U.S. doesn't.

We also should enforce existing laws around monopolistic practice, which will allow users to choose social media platforms. If you cannot easily download your data from your social media platform and upload it into a new one, then the social media company is holding your data hostage, which is arguably monopolistic. More competition will allow users to choose content moderation platforms. We do not all need to be supporting companies that can host and perpetuate real harm online and in the real world, without much effort to combat it. We do not all need to be subject to the same attention-seeking algorithmic behavior.

We should force companies to remove all child abuse content. It is embarrassing that AI can easily find this content but is not enabled to remove it. Even more embarrassing is that the companies apparently don't always remove it when they are notified or delay efforts to do so. It is extremely important that interpretable (transparent) models are used for high-stakes decisions that deeply affect people's lives. I have written extensively about this, pointing out that for high-stakes decisions, interpretable models have performed just as well as black box models, even on difficult

Tone change

neg loaded diction, slope

280 CANA

Pop Replace

TA 15 NVKVeMV inthe FUTURE EITHER "like the boginging of Maunching general avalanche of misintormation Write title here : "Good Guys" "Bad Goys" WHO list lack looked worlds *implication * INHO: list neglooded worlds *implication * "ve & Citizens "Here are Hings we "over to democratify there are things we "AI" - "Worst feature is spired of lies" " contributed to Rahingya Mostacies " spread of Covid 7 19" " vaccine wis: nformertion" " played a part in insurrection " Regulations license "humans" "turned algorithms into weapons"
"spread dox: ous info" "certification "training don't "feel quilty" govz agercy AI STONTUPS! say therre "democratizing AI" tor YI "patentially apin billions"
I can host a perpetuate harm;
don't appear to care! Existential Import? "Spread" "fake" "billions" "slovid" "Figh-atakes" "requiste" Authorizing Tropos? arg-from authority (researcher) "my lab. Assertive or Accommodating? both. other thetorical tactics (look @tomas list) Absolutes/exagg, "worst" wall " MORE" "MO OPE" Megiloaided diction: "lies" "Massacre" "deaths" "billions"
"ovtrageous falcehoode" "minsurrection" "Meapons" "outrageous false hoods" "nucleor bomb" " bullying" - t , h. . . Overt thesis, "we" need to step in + Jemand regulation or (slippeny slope) Implied Heses: AI "spreads, like a vivus + vadermines the American may of life. We will descend into totalitarianism, it we don't stop it. Only by using the democratic torget Audience: Process + enacting laws will was defead the wealthy companies trying to gain power. by not onto lecther. TA: Not scientists. Not overly computer sorry? Pro- Rokingya (leaning liberal - believes Jan 6 was insumection) Praraccine. Disgusted by greed (not elite). Feels alone + Powerless. Looking for a hero. Hes lost faith in rowerless. democracy.